#### **TEEN PARENT PROGRAM**

## FISCAL YEAR 2005 SIX MONTH UPDATE (OCTOBER 2004 - MARCH 2005)

Data Prepared by
Performance Excellence Administration
Michigan Department of Human Services

January 2006

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM	4
TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	4
TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE	5
PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS	6
TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY	6
TABLE 4 GENDER	7
TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	8
TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER	s
TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS	10
PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION	
TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS	11
TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE	11
TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN	12
TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN	13
PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS	14
TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE	16
TABLE 10 EMPLOYEMNT STATUS	17
PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT	18
TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT	19
TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	20

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS; formerly the Michigan Family Independence Agency) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 04-05 (i.e., October 2004 through March 2005) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- > During this six-month period, 595 new participants entered the program, with 14.6% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS (formerly, FIA) offices.
- > The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:

▶ 64.3% African American

> 7.1% Hispanic

0.2% Native American

> 26.7% White

> 1.7% Other (multi-racial)

- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 9.6% of the recently enrolled participants.
- > The average age of this group of participants was 18.08 years.
- > 97.3% of the participants were single.
- > 55.2% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 91.6% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- > 51.6% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 86.1% of them parenting one child, 12.5% parenting two children, and 1.4% parenting three children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.0.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training and school simultaneously),
  - > 56.3% of the participants were enrolled in school.

- > 0.9% of the participants were GED holders.
- > 6.4% of the participants were enrolled in GED training.
- > 12.9% of the participants were high school graduates.
- > 13.4% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 25.1 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.46.
- > 25.2% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

#### **TEEN PARENT PROGRAM**

# Fiscal Year 2005 Six Month Update October 2004 - March 2005

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS; formerly the Michigan Family Independence Agency) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the first six-month update for FY 04-05. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2005, namely, October 2004 through March 2005.

The program continues to operate via contract with twenty-one sites (21) in eighteen (18) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

#### PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

**Table 1** presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between October 1, 2004, and March 31, 2005. During this six-month period, 595 new participants entered the program.

# Table 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS				FY04	FY03				
	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	TOTAL <sup>1</sup>	TOTAL
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	128	77	82	115	96	97	585	595	1,259

-4-

In addition to these 595 new cases entering the program during fiscal year 2005, there were 1,046 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2004, and remained open as of the start of FY04-05). Source: Teen Parent Program Semi-Annual Monitoring Report (October 2004).

**Table 2** identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 14.6% (87) of the referrals during this six month period were from the DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from: (a) some "other" source (see footnote, below, for details regarding "other" referral sources), which accounted for 38.4% (228) of the referrals, and (b) schools, which accounted for 15.0% (89) of the referrals. The remaining 32.0% (190) of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, public/community health, community agency, and mental health.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE				MONT	Н			FY05	FY04
NEI ERITAL GOORGE	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
DHS	22	16	13	12	9	15	87 (14.6%)	87 (14.6%)	163 (13.2%)
Health Care Provider	3	8	10	5	4	4	34 (5.7%)	34 (5.7%)	93 (7.5%)
Public/Community Health	20	10	9	7	17	12	75 (12.6%)	75 (12.6%)	153 (12.4%)
Community Agency	20	6	8	21	13	28	80 (13.5%)	80 (13.5%)	243 (19.7%)
Mental Health	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 (0.2%)	(0.2%)	1 (0.1%)
School	24	11	12	15	11	16	89 (15.0%)	89 (15.0%)	123 (10.0%)
Other <sup>2</sup>	38	26	30	55	41	38	228 (38.4%)	228 (38.4%)	459 (37.2%)
TOTALS	128	77	82	115	95	97	594 (100.0%)	594 (100.0%)	1,235 (100.0%) <sup>3</sup>
Missing <sup>4</sup>	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner, another program participant, was a former program participant, TPP agency, court system (e.g., juvenile court, family court, probation officer), "Healthy Families", "United Life Styles", "Treatment, Trainings, and Innovations", church, yellow pages, brochure, flyer, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

#### PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

**Table 3** presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2005. Accordingly, 64.3% (379) of the individuals were African American, 26.7% (157) were white, 7.1% (42) were Hispanic, and 0.2% (1) were Native American. The "other" responses served to identify ten individuals (1.7%) as multi-racial (actually, nine individuals were identified as multi-racial and one individual was identified as Iraqi).

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY				MONT	Н			FY05	FY04
TWOE/ETTINIOTT	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
White	30	28	22	26	23	28	157 (26.7%)	157 (26.7%)	351 (28.5%)
African American	79	45	54	82	62	57	379 (64.3%)	379 (64.3%)	785 (63.8%)
Native American	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.2%)	7 (0.6%)
Hispanic	17	4	6	4	7	4	42 (7.1%)	42 (7.1%)	66 (5.4%)
Other	2	0	0	3	2	3	10 (1.7%)	10 (1.7%)	21 (1.7%)
TOTALS	128	77	82	115	94	93	589 (100.0%)	589 (100.0%)	1,230 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	2	4	6	6	8

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. *Table 4* presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2005. Accordingly, 90.4% (538) of the individuals were female, and 9.6% (57) were male.

*Table 4*GENDER

			МО	NTH		FY05	FY04		
GENDER	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Female	110	76	72	107	87	86	538 (90.4%)	538 (90.4%)	1,143 (92.3%)
Male	18	1	10	8	9	11	57 (9.6%)	57 (9.6%)	95 (7.7%)
TOTALS	118	77	82	115	96	97	595 (100.0%)	595 (100.0%)	1,1238 (100.0%)

**Table 5** displays the age distribution of participants entering the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2005, with the overall average age being 18.08 years. For those participants entering the program during the months of October, November and December 2004, age was calculated as of December 31, 2004, with the average age being 17.92 years. Meanwhile, for those who entered during the months of January, February and March 2005, age was calculated as of March 31, 2005, with the average age being 18.23 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

				MONT	Н			FY05	FY04
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Twelve	0	0	0	0	1	0	1 (0.2%)	0	0
Thirteen	1	0	0	3	2	0	6 (1.0%)	5 (0.4%)	5 (0.4%)
Fourteen	4	1	1	0	4	2	12 (2.1%)	28 (2.3%)	28 (2.3%)
Fifteen	12	9	11	6	6	7	51 (8.9%)	97 (7.7%)	97 (7.7%)
Sixteen	23	13	12	18	14	16	96 (16.7%)	171 (14.1%)	171 (14.1%)
Seventeen	19	21	15	22	17	23	117 (20.3%)	263 (21.7%)	263 (21.7%)
Eighteen	26	11	16	25	9	19	106 (18.4%)	263 (21.7%)	263 (21.7%)
Nineteen	21	15	16	21	19	9	101 (17.5%)	217 (17.9%)	217 (17.9%)
Twenty	10	6	7	15	12	15	65 (11.3%)	128 (10.6%)	128 (10.6%)
Twenty-one and older	1	1	4	2	8	5	21 (3.6%)	35 (2.9%)	35 (2.9%)
TOTALS	117	77	82	112	92	96	576 (100.0%)	1,213 (100.0%)	1,213 (100.0%)
Missing	11	0	0	3	4	1	19	25	25

**Table 6** displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.89 years old, and the average male participant was 20.32 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER<sup>5</sup>

AGE BY	FIRST S	EAR 05	FY05 %	FY04 %		
GENDER	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)	YTD (N)	Total (N)
Female	97.6	98.3	86.3	92.0 (530)	92.0 (530)	92.3 (1,120)
Male	2.4	1.7	13.7	8.0 (46)	8.0 (46)	7.7 (93)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (166)	100.0 (117)	100.0 (293)	100.0 (576)	100.0 (576)	100.0 (1,213)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>For the first six months of FY05, there were nineteen cases for which information about age was missing. Meanwhile, for FY04, there were twenty-five cases for which information about age was missing.

**Table 7** displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 97.3% (572) were single and 2.7% (16) were married. Of the sixteen individuals who were married, eight were African American, five were Hispanic, and three were white. In terms of age, two were sixteen years old, two were seventeen years old and twelve were eighteen years old or older. With respect to gender, thirteen were female and three were male.

*Table 7*MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS				MONT	Н			FY05 YTD	FY04
WARTINE CONTINUES	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		TOTAL
Single	124	77	78	108	92	93	572 (97.3%)	572 (97.3%)	1,187 (96.5%)
Married	4	0	4	7	1	0	16 (2.7%)	16 (2.7%)	40 (3.3%)
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 (0.2%)
TOTALS	128	77	82	115	93	93	588 (100.0%)	588 (100.0%)	1,230 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	7	8

#### PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

**Table 8** reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 48.4% (285) were pregnant, 44.8% (264) were parenting, and 6.8% (40) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE				MONT	Н			FY05	FY04
THEORY INCOME OF THE OF THE OF THE OF	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Pregnant	56	39	41	51	51	47	285 (48.4%)	285 (48.4%)	530 (42.8%)
Parenting	59	33	35	55	35	47	264 (44.8%)	264 (44.8%)	605 (48.9%)
Pregnant and Parenting	8	5	6	9	9	3	40 (6.8%)	40 (6.8%)	102 (8.2%)
TOTALS	123	77	82	115	93	97	589 (100.0%)	589 (100.0%)	1,137 (100.0%)
Missing	5	0	0	0	1	0	6	6	1

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 91.6% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in *Table 8A* below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?				FY05	FY04				
WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Yes	55	40	42	58	55	45	295 (91.6%)	295 (91.6%)	585 (94.1%)
No	7	4	5	2	4	5	27 (8.4%)	27 (8.4%)	37 (5.9%)
TOTALS	62	44	47	60	59	50	322 (100.0%)	322 (100.0%)	622 (100.0%)
Missing	2	0	0	0	1	0	3	3	10

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 72.4% (246) were one year or younger, 15.0% (51) were two years old, 8.8% (30) were three years old, 2.9% (10) were four years old, and 0.9% (3) were five years old or older.

According to *Table 8B*, 86.7% (221) of those parenting had one child, 12.2% (31) had two children, and 1.2% (3) had three children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER				MONT	Н			FY05	FY04
OF CHILDREN:	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
One	50	30	28	42	31	40	221 (86.7%)	221 (86.7%)	516 (85.4%)
Two	4	2	7	9	3	6	31 (12.2%)	31 (12.2%)	78 (12.9%)
Three	1	0	0	2	0	0	3 (1.2%)	3 (1.2%)	9 (1.5%)
Four	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0.3%)
TOTALS	55	32	35	53	34	46	255 (100.0%)	255 (100.0%)	604 (100.0%)
Missing	4	1	0	2	1	1	9	9	1

Similarly, *Table 8C* reveals that 82.5% (33) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, 15.0% (6) had two children, and 2.5% (1) had three children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT				MONT	Н			FY05	FY04
TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
One	6	5	6	6	8	2	33 (82.5%)	33 (82.5%)	89 (88.1%)
Two	1	0	0	3	1	1	6 (15.0%)	6 (15.0%)	10 (9.9%)
Three	1	0	0	0	0	0	1 (2.5%)	1 (2.5%)	2 (2.0%)
TOTALS	8	5	6	9	9	3	40 (100.0%)	40 (100.0%)	101 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

#### PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

**Tables 9 and 10** reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.0.

#### A. School

The 318 individuals (56.3%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Twenty-one individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training.
- Fourteen individuals had a high school diploma.
- Thirty-six teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.8.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.34 years, with 42.2% being sixteen years old or younger, 24.1% being seventeen years old, and 33.7% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 98.2% (306) of those enrolled were females, representing 56.9% of all females in the program. Meanwhile, 3.8% (12) of those enrolled were males, representing 21.1% of all males in the program.

The 247 individuals (43.7%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Fifty-nine teens had a high school diploma.
- Five participants had a GED certificate.
- Fifteen individuals were in GED training.
- Thirty-nine teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.4.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.99 years, with 12.4% being sixteen years old or younger, 15.4% being seventeen years old, and 72.2% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 84.6% (209) of those enrolled were females, representing 38.8% of all females in the program. Meanwhile, 15.4% (38) of those enrolled were males, representing 66.7% of all males in the program.

All thirty participants, for whom information about school enrollment was missing, were similarly missing responses to the remaining questions regarding education and employment.

#### B. GED Training

Of the thirty-six individuals in GED training, twenty-one were also in school and four were working. In terms of age, 2.8% were sixteen years old or younger, 33.3% were seventeen years old, and 63.9% were eighteen years old or older.

#### C. GED Certificate

Five individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, three of who were working and one of who was continuing their education.

#### D. High School Diploma

The seventy-three individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Fourteen teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty-two teens were working.

The 491 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 304 teens were enrolled in school.
- Thirty-six teens were in GED training (including twenty-one who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Five teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Fifty-three individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 150 individuals, or 25.2% of those who entered the program during the first six months of fiscal year 2005, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 18.5% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 18.5% were seventeen years old, and 63.0% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY05 YTD	FY04 TOTAL
A. Was the participant in school at intake?	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS		
Yes	72	44	50	57	53	42	318 (56.3%)	318 (56.3%)	577 (48.3%)
No	47	31	31	58	33	47	247 (43.7%)	247 (43.7%)	618 (51.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	119 (9)	75 (2)	81 (1)	115	86 (10)	89 (8)	565 (30) (100.0%)	565 (30) (100.0%)	1,195 (43) (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training?	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	05 YTD	04 Total
Yes	11	4	5	5	7	4	36 (6.4%)	36 (6.4%)	75 (6.3%)
No	108	71	76	110	79	85	529 (93.6%)	529 (93.6%)	1,122 (93.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	119 (9)	75 (2)	81 (1)	115	86 (10)	89 (8)	565 (30) (100.0%)	565 (30) (100.0%)	1,197 (41) (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	05 YTD	04 Total
Yes	1	1	1	2	0	0	5 (0.9%)	5 (0.9%)	30 (2.5%)
No	117	74	80	113	86	89	559 (99.1%)	559 (99.1%)	1,169 (97.5%)
TOTALS (Missing)	118 (10)	75 (2)	81 (1)	115	86 (10)	89 (8)	564 (31) (100.0%)	564 (31) (100.0%)	1,199 (39) (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	05 YTD	04 Total
Yes	6	7	14	20	15	11	73 (12.9%)	73 (12.9%)	171 (14.3%)
No	112	68	67	95	71	78	491 (87.1%)	491 (87.1%)	1,028 (85.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	118 (10)	75 (2)	81 (1)	115	86 (10)	89 (8)	564 (31) (100.0%)	564 (31) (100.0%)	1,199 (39) (100.0%)

**Table 10** indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 13.4% (75) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 86.6% (484) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?		MONTH							FY04
		NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
Yes	12	12	20	17	5	9	75 (13.4%)	75 (13.4%)	178 (14.9%)
No	104	62	60	97	81	80	484 (86.6%)	484 (86.6%)	1,016 (85.1%)
TOTALS	116	74	80	114	86	89	559 (100.0%)	559 (100.0%)	1.194 (100.0%)
Missing	12	3	2	1	10	8	36	36	44

For the seventy-five teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 25.1 and the average hourly wage was \$6.46. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.96 years. Furthermore,

- Sixty-two (82.7%) of those employed were females, representing 11.5% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, thirteen (17.3%) of those employed were male, representing 22.8% of the males entering the program.
- Twenty-two individuals had a high school diploma (three of who were also continuing their education).
- > Three teens had a GED certificate.
- Four teens were in GED training, including two who were also enrolled in school.
- Thirty-six individuals were enrolled in school (three of who had a diploma, and two of who were also in GED training).
- Fifteen teens were working, but were not in school or GED training, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 484 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 279 were enrolled in school (including nineteen who were also in GED training, and nine who had a high school diploma).
- Thirty-two teens were in GED training (nineteen of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Fifty individuals had a high school diploma (nine of who were also continuing their education).
- > Two teens had a GED certificate.

#### PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

**Table 11**, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 55.9% of the individuals who entered the program during the first six months of FY05 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 11.2% living with other relative(s), and 8.1% living independently. The remaining 24.8% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

**Table 12**, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 78.0% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 55.7% of those aged seventeen and 44.6% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents.

- All totaled, 95.7% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 78.3% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living with parents and partner, living with godsister, living with godparents, living in transitional living program, residing in juvenile home, living in supportive housing, etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?		MONTH							FY04
		NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	TOTALS	YTD	TOTAL
w/Parents	71	47	42	70	47	47	324 (55.9%)	324 (55.9%)	630 (51.8%)
w/Guardian	3	0	2	1	6	6	18 (3.1%)	18 (3.1%)	43 (3.5%)
w/Other relative	11	12	9	12	14	7	65 (11.2%)	65 (11.2%)	155 (12.7%)
w/Partner	9	4	5	6	10	2	36 (6.2%)	36 (6.2%)	57 (4.7%)
w/Spouse	1	0	1	3	0	0	5 (0.9%)	5 (0.9%)	22 (1.8%)
Formal placement	5	0	2	1	2	3	13 (2.2%)	13 (2.2%)	43 (3.5%)
Independently	7	9	8	9	4	10	47 (8.1%)	47 (8.1%)	123 (10.1%)
Homeless	2	2	2	2	1	1	10 (1.7%)	10 (1.7%)	33 (2.7%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	9	2	6	6	2	9	34 (5.9%)	34 (5.9%)	63 (5.2%)
Other	10	0	4	5	3	6	28 (4.8%)	28 (4.8%)	47 (3.9%)
TOTALS	128	76	81	115	89	91	580 (100.0%)	580 (100.0%)	1,216 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	1	0	7	6	15	15	22

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT<sup>6</sup>

AGE BY LIVING		FY05	FY04			
ARRANGEMENT	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)	YTD % (N)	TOTAL % (N)
w/Parents	78.0	55.7	44.6	56.6 (319)	56.6 (319)	51.7 (619)
w/Guardian	4.9	5.2	1.4	3.2 (18)	3.2 (18)	3.6 (43)
w/Other relative	10.4	11.3	11.9	11.3 (64)	11.3 (64)	12.7 (152)
w/Partner	0.6	2.6	9.8	5.7 (32)	5.7 (32)	4.8 (57)
w/Spouse	0.0	0.9	1.4	0.9 (5)	0.9 (5)	1.7 (20)
Formal placement	2.4	5.2	1.1	2.3 (13)	2.3 (13)	3.6 (43)
Independently	0.0	4.3	14.7	8.3 (47)	8.3 (47)	10.0 (119)
Homeless	0.0	0.9	3.2	1.8 (10)	1.8 (10)	2.8 (33)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	0.6	13.0	6.3	6.0 (34)	6.0 (34)	5.1 (61)
Other	3.0	0.9	5.6	3.9 (22)	3.9 (22)	3.9 (47)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (164)	100.0 (115)	100.0 (285)	100.0 (564)	100.0 (564)	100.0 (1,194)

For the first six months of fiscal year 2004-2005, there were thirty-one individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown. NOTE: For FY 03-04, there were forty-four individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.